

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU: TRIAL TERM: PART 7

____X In the Matter of the Application of INDEX NO.

DOMINIC MACEDO, ROBERT DONNO, MARK 003124/2016 DOMINIC MACEDO, ROBERT DONNO, MARK SAUVIGNE, and MARLENE LOBATO, Objectors,

Petitioners,

-V-

PHILIP M. PIDOT, Candidate, and GREGORY PETERSON, PETER KOSINSKI, DOUGLAS KELLNER and ANDREW SPANO, Commissioners Constituting the New York State Board of Elections,

Respondents. ----X ----X

In the Matter of the Application of

INDEX NO. 3448/16

PHILIP M. PIDOT, Candidate,

Petitioner,

-V-

DOMINIC J. MACEDO, ROBERT DONNO, MARK S. SAUVIGNE and MARLENE LOBATO, Objectors, and THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Respondents.

Nassau Supreme Court 100 Supreme Court Drive Mineola, New York 11501 June 21, 2016

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE ARTHUR M. DIAMOND, Justice of the Supreme Court.

(APPEARANCES ARE LISTED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.)

APPEARANCES:

SINNREICH, KOSAKOFF & MESSINA, LLP Attorneys for DOMINIC MACEDO, ROBERT DONNO, MARK SAUVIGNE and

MARLENE LOBATO

267 Carleton Avenue

Suite 301

Central Islip, New York 11722

JOHN CIAMPOLI, ESQ.

BY:

JOHN E. SWEENEY, ESQ. Attorney for PHILIP M. PIDOT

79 Columbia Street Albany, New York 12210

ALSO PRESENT:

Brendan Quinn

E. O'Brien Murray (Present during afternoon session)
Marco Silva, Esq. (Present during afternoon session)

ANDREA V. SLOBODOW, CSR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Proceedings

primary.

In essence, this Court can't order a primary that complies with the New York State Election Law. It can't order a primary which complies with Judge Sharpe's order. It can't order a primary that complies with the federal MOVE Act. That is what Mr. Pidot is asking you to do. He's asking you to break the law. I am confident that you won't break the law, that you won't issue an order that isn't consistent with the law or attempts to reverse a Federal District Judge's order, and that you won't disenfranchise those soldiers and sailors.

THE COURT: What do you think was the drop-dead date for --

MR. CIAMPOLI: It was in May. I have notes on my desk that reflect the date in May -- and by the way, it was around the time, within a week, I believe, of Judge Adams' order.

THE COURT: So anything that occurred after that would have been -- resulted in an illegal election if it was allowed to go forward?

MR. CIAMPOLI: At the very least, it would require somebody to go to Judge Sharpe and say: We need an exception from your order. Right now, what they're asking you to do is to have the City of New

Proceeding

York, the County of Nassau, and the County of Suffolk hold a primary which would subject them to contempt in Federal District Court.

THE COURT: So how come you didn't make the

THE COURT: So how come you didn't make the motion a month ago?

MR. CIAMPOLI: It hadn't matured. That's the reason why.

THE COURT: Well, I just heard you said the drop dead date, where you couldn't have a legal election, was around the time that Adams had his hearing.

MR. CIAMPOLI: So --

THE COURT: That was around May 6 or 7th, I believe.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CIAMPOLI: But he dismissed. He dismissed on the 11th.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CIAMPOLI: Okay? I believe there was still time to squeeze in a legal election. Certainly, the publication could have been done. And I believe you still would have had 45 days --

THE COURT: And how about when you went to the Appellate Division?

MR. CIAMPOLI: I told the Appellate Division it was impossible to do this and they didn't really --

	Proceedings
1	during argument, and they didn't really listen.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. CIAMPOLI: I think that, frankly, as I
4	told you at the beginning of my argument, Justice
5	Adams had a number of motions to dismiss. He ruled on
6	one, didn't have to go to the others because you can't
7	multi-dismiss a case. You can dismiss a case; once
8	it's dismissed, it's dismissed.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	So Mr. Sweeney, I assume that you don't have
11	written opposition?
12	MR. SWEENEY: I'm sorry?
13	THE COURT: I assume you don't have written
14	opposition?
15	MR. SWEENEY: I do not, your Honor. We were
16	just notified.
17	MR. CIAMPOLI: And your Honor, I have a copy
18	of the Federal Court order, if the Court needs it.
19	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Sweeney. Do you want
20	to be heard?
21	MR. SWEENEY: I certainly do, your Honor.
22	Obviously well, I oppose this mission to dismiss.
23	First, on the matter of the traverse hearing, that
24	matter was settled last week in the Appellate

Division. I think the record will reflect the

25

1 MR. SWEENEY: That he use --2 THE COURT: Don't do that in this courtroom, 3 please. MR. SWEENEY: Which caused this delay in the 4 first instance, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I don't know what caused the 6 7 The time that you spent, both of you, whoever 8 brought the appeal was an extraordinary amount of time 9 that went by before the appeal was perfected. Let me tell you this, I'm not going to issue 10 11 any stay of any elections. That I won't do. In other 12 words, your remedy would be the same as anybody else who 13 gets an order here. You would take that, you would take 14 my order to the Appellate Division or the federal court 15 and apply for a stay from them. That certainly makes 16 sense to me, but I am not going to issue a stay after I 17 do my decision. 18 One second. 19 (Whereupon, a brief pause was taken in the 20 proceedings.) 21 THE COURT: Mr. Quail is still there. He sent 22 me his direct line, but if you -- so I guess then, 23 Mr. Sweeney, I will give you the option of coming back 24 tomorrow or we can do it now with the Board of Elections 25 on the phone.